

USA 5-11-05 How new World F-Post order is seen from US

Paul Wolfowitz at the World Bank and John Bolton at the UN in their agendas include privatization of rainwater, encouragement for illegal logging, torture, disappearance, above ground nuclear testing, pre-emptive warfare for profit, the single-minded appropriation, exploitation, and combustion of all hydrocarbons on earth by the US to end life on earth. Consequently, the vast majority of the US citizens will notice that their rivers are full of Mercury and Arsenic and it would be too late to save themselves and the world. Why men with such delightful agendas are picked up by President G. W. Bush may not be for nothing. Al-Kennedy needs to know about the reason why!

The American foreign policy has constantly been accused of suffering from attention deficit disorder. Washington has a hard time keeping focused on a particular topic if results were not immediately attainable. Those who rely on the support of US around the world may at a moment's notice, see complete somersault and derailment of its policy.

This is what happened when the US left the 'Front Line State Pakistan' in the latter half of the 1980s. In 1983 following the devastating attack on US Marines (241 were killed), President Reagan ordered the troops home, leaving the job unaccomplished in turmoil in Lebanon. In 1990-91 Gulf War (Desert Storm), Kuwait had believed that US would go for Saddam, after liberating it, but then, Americans left them. Likewise, leaving about 200,000 Shias to be slaughtered by Saddam.

Bush senior (the US president) said that the United Nations Resolution authorizing the use of force was only intended to see the liberation of Kuwait. But alas, the scenario has changed, the US unilateral pre-emption invogue since 9/11 has turned the earth turtle. Now however, unlike Bush Senior, no one cares to pay even lip service to UNO, International Law or International Criminal Court!

M Zaman Malik

In April 2004 meeting with Sheron, President Bush declared that it was "unrealistic to expect a full and complete return' by Israel to the pre-June 1967 borders, thereby disregarding UN Resolution- the most fundamental step that could ensure a just solution of the Palestinian-Israeli conflict. Dov Weisglass, top advisor to Sheron as cited by Haaretz a few months ago said: "what I effectively agreed to with the Americans was that part of the settlements would not be dealt with at all, and the rest will not be dealt with until Palestinians turn into Finns".

On the other hand, at the closing session of the Summit of the Arab League in the Algerian Capital Algiers, they appointed a troika to help ward off International pressure on Syria. It consisted of Algeria, Tunisia and Sudan. The bizarre nature of the decision was instantly pointed out by both Lebanon and Syria. But the Spanish Prime minister in his speech at this Summit, highlighted many shared values- cultural and historical and said: "Terrorism neither has a homeland nor a religion and both Arabs and Muslims are innocent.

Europe is all for dialogue with Civilizations not a Conflict", the anti humanitarian theory advocated by Samuel Huntington is being executed by neocons Zionists. In this Summit Libya's ruler Col. Gaddafi had already said:

"The Arabs should stop calling for a separate Palestinian state and, insist on a single Arab- Jewish state to replace Israel". For terrorism, Gaddafi believes it is generated by poverty and sustained by "wrong social systems". "You are corrupt; you are opposed to reform. Your system of education is designed to produce a million Bin Ladens", Gaddafi told his fellow Arab leaders.

With regard to Iran 'Bush says Iran is not a Iraq', which should not mean that US will not attack Iran, for want of troops. It has enough of them but it is not sure of political

sanctions for it. Not only the allies will not support it but 58 percent of the Americans did not share White House priorities in Foreign Affairs. Britain has prepared 200- Page dossier listing the dangers of invading Iran and has advocated for safeguarding Iran's right to peaceful use of nuclear technology.

The US "has been conducting secret reconnaissance missions inside Iran at least last summer" and 'Defence Department civilians, under the leadership of Douglas Feith has been working with Israeli planners and consultants to develop and refine potential nuclear and chemical weapons and missile targets inside Iran", portray a different picture.

This is the sight that the people of Pakistan also can't help keep on top of their minds while thinking about Indo- Israeli nexus and the strategical Mount Everest that the US has reserved for India, East of Iran. America has not only offered F-18s to India as much as she likes but has also given her the licence to manufacture as much as she wants.

For two years, Bush refused to entertain any suggestion pertaining to the invasion of Iraq, but by March 2003, his plans had taken the form of the 'Shock and Awe' strategy. Who knows about Iran?

The restless determination of the Bush administration to reorder global affairs is well illustrated by a classified document prepared by US Defence Secretary Donald Rumsfeld as a prelude to a massive review of Pentagon spending. It requires the military to build a far more proactive force focused on changing the world rather than responding to specific conflicts such as Koreans peninsula, Palestinian homeland and Kashmir. It sees the development of very differently trained troops who would be able to intervene on a much more widespread basis.

North Korea, like Iraq and Iran, was part of the 'axis of evil' but there has been no attempts at regime change. It

was because of the North Korea's geographical proximity of Seoul, the opposition of South Korea towards precipitous action, and the role and interest of China, have obliged the Americans to move with caution.

Far from Unilateralism, they have vested their efforts in the six- party talks, and the hope that China might act as restraining force on Pyongyang.

In the long run China remains the greatest global challenge to the US. In the meanwhile, Americans will continue to give tacit support to Taiwan and quietly encourage Japan's growing nationalism and India's strategic potential to grow together as a bulwark against China, as a means to accomplish their respective roles, which becomes amply clear when we read the following network strategy of the US.

The idea is that you would have lots of teams operating in lots of places throughout the world. At the same time, there is an absolute belief that the US must maintain such a large lead in crucial technologies that growing powers in other words. China- will decide that it is simple too expensive to try to compete.

That's how the New World Order is seen from Washington. UN has already fallen so low in public esteem, since the 'Oil for Food Scandal', sex scandal involving US soldiers and Un failure to do anything effective about the genocide of Darfur.

No reforms can succeed without the single most state and principal contributor to UN funds. Additionally, US doesn't believe in any Law that lifts its neck in its way towards complete Unilateralism.

The only small hitch is posed by Federal Deficit, Debt & Interest, which has grown beyond the government's ability to control through taxation. Obviously, who would know about the answer better than the US planners like Defence Secretary Rumsfeld, Paul Wolfowitz and John Bolton?
mzamanmalik19@hotmail.com