## Shaitan among us

The four large trees in Lawrence Gardens of Lahore have nothing

devilish about them. Then, why are they called shaitan?

By Sarah Humayun

lstonia Scholaris is the botanical name, and shaitan is the common one. We are speaking of the four large trees right opposite the Quaid-e-Azam Library in Lahore's Lawrence Gardens. They are planted in what is called the bandstand by the gardeners — because in the angrezi days, when the library was the gymkhana, a police band used to play there in the

evenings.

They are planted in a rough circle, and look, in spite of the suggestive name, rather innocuous. The age, the gardeners esti-

mate, is probably from 60-70



Under the shadow of shaitan: Relaxing in the Gardens, Photos: Rahat Dar.

years. Alam Khan, the head gardener, can say with certainty that they were there 30 years ago, "along with the angrezi band". The thing that intrigues one is that there seems to be no link between the two — the common and the botanical name — cryptically placed side by side. This begs answers.

Nor can the gardeners be very helpful. It is not the first time someone has harried them for an explanation, and their answer is, they have not named it. "Somebody or the other named it, wherever it is they sit and think up names, and we just took the name as it was," says

Which is true enough. After all, names don't explain themselves when taken out of context, and there is nothing to suggest, as it is and where it is, that the tree has anything devilish about it. There is only the name to hint that it has, or had, a dark side.

And the explanation is that the two are not related. The name Alstonia comes from Prof. Alston of Edinburgh, who presumably introduced the species

to western nomenclature, and the other name, the interesting one, Shaitan, comes from western India. In Bengal it is called Chittim, which means the same thing. According to folklore, the tree is the abode of evil spirits. Scholaris, however, is derived primarily from its commercial use — ironically enough, for what might be called a non-commercial purpose. Its wood is soft and used as timber for blackboards — hence Alstonia

Scholaris.

The tree is said to possess magical powers. Magical powers, in our up-to-date vocabulary, might simply mean medicinal powers. Of these it possesses a few. It's used in treating Malaria; also in homeopathic medicine. It's use in medicine for intestinal diseases is perhaps best known — for diahorreah, dysentery and intermittent fever. It is also an aphrodisiac — information gleaned predictably enough from

a wiccan website. The sap of the

bark and leaves may have toxic

effects. Was it, then, someone

hard bitten by the tree who first

called it shaitan in a moment of

fear or exasperation?

India, Bengal, Ceylon, Borneo, and also Australia. If you are interested in the more practical aspects of growing the tree, you should know that it can reach a height of up to 20 metres and can spread to about 10 metres. It flowers between October to December, and the flowers are scented. Its favourite soils are deep, and it thrives in sandy coasts where the roots reach the

water-table. It is tolerant to

The matter of the name was

droughts.

It is indigenous to western

then, partly, cleared up. The two names are not connected, and are not mutually explanatory, because, of course, the namers had different associations with the tree.

Going through some articles about the indigenous names of trees and their associations, one found, not surprisingly, writers longing for a time and an age

when people knew the names of

trees and plants. They searched

for reasons for their names, and

their associations, in the normal

course of things. But a return to

this state of affairs, if indeed it

would be a return, is not what

live with nature, side by side, as a normal part of one's environment; neither as friend nor as enemy, but sometimes one and sometimes the other. But not, as it were, a slave, with whom one might be neither a friend nor an enemy.

If this is possible one hardly knows, though we might make efforts from time to time to reacquaint ourselves with nature

we speak of when we talk about.

'environmental issues'.

Presumably to live on good

terms with nature would be to

and things natural. But the 'issues' of the environment have more to do with the loss of the permanence and reliability of the natural world, which followed its set courses, and even catastrophes which occurred as inevitable and necessary to. human beings. The natural world seems to us now vulnerable to human whim - whereas the processes and progresses of human beings seem necessary. and inevitable. And it is the question of whether this attitude is compatible with the life on the

planet as a whole that raises

grave doubts.