Europe's 'Look East'

recent visit to Germany was instructive in understanding Euppe's political evolution the aftermath of the end the Cold War, and rowing tensions with the nited States regarding its ble in the world.

Germany, the largest tate in Europe now, together with France and Belgium, headquarters of NATO, were leading the Europe 19 of NATO, were

pean opposition to the Iraq War.

Germany has had a long-standing interest and involvement in the Middle East and South Asia, through its well-established tradition of Oriental scholarship, and unlike European colonial powers, it has not been an extension of any colonial policy. Germany also hosted the Bonn Conference in December 2001 following the ouster of the Taliban regime in Afghanistan, and it was this landmark event that set the political roadmap for post-Taliban Afghanistan. And only last week, German peacekeepers were killed in Kabul in an apparent suicide bombing, the first such casualties among the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) stationed in Kabul, of which 2400 are Germans.

Last month, Prime Minister Vajpayee visited Germany and later this month, President Musharraf will

have a brief visit to Berlin.

During a conference on Pakistan at Berlin and Munich, there was a useful opportunity to exchange views with German parliamentarians, academics and officials, with an insight into their worldview and policies. As Europe now moves closer towards political integration, there is a discomfort developing between an economically vibrant and politically cohesive Europe and its former protector, the United States.

With the European Union now expanded to 25 countries with a total population of 450 million, 15 million of which is Muslim, there are major challenges regarding its future role in the world and relationship with

the United States.

There is a growing feeling among Europeans that the United States would prefer to conduct foreign policy with the individual states of Europe, rather than a unified Europe whose outlook could well be shaped by dissenters like France and Germany. In fact, some American scholars even hint at an American policy of fostering divisions within Europe. In a

June 9 media interview, Charles Kupchan, who served as Director for European Affairs at the National Security Council under President Clinton, said that 'to the extent we have a policy today, it is to foster divisions within Europe. We deliberately encouraged countries to break away from the Franco-German consen-

sus on Irag.

It is not just Iraq that is a divider between the Atlantic allies. On Iran, EU policy is to engage at all levels, rejecting the notion of any 'axis of evil' and even on Hizbullah, the EU has refused to recognise the Lebanon Islamic movement as a 'terrorist group', similar to their not going along with the US on the Hizbul Mujahideen organization in Jammu and Kashmir, which Washington has placed on its 'terrorism watch list' for political reasons to appease India.

However, at the same time, the EU also has more stringent standards on democracy in Pakistan than the United States. For instance, the EU's Cooperation Agreement with Pakistan, which was arrived at in

BY MUSHAHID HUSSAIN

A significant change in Europe is that Muslims and Islam are now part of the European landscape, with large Muslim populations in Germany, France and Britain, France and Britain, making these societies multicultural and multi-ethnic.

November 2001, basically as a political 'reward' for Islamabad's ditching of the Taliban and switching sides to support the US in the 'war on terror', has still not been approved by the European Parliament. They have made their approval conditional on 'progress on democratisation', a diplomatic codeword for reservations on the LFO, somewhat similar to those expressed by the Commonwealth.

The Germans also are concerned at recent measures taken by the MMA government in the Frontier Province, which they say could adversely affect their ongoing funding there since they have apparently strict provisions regarding women's rights, educa-

tion and political pluralism.

Notwithstanding these reservations concerning Pakistan's domestic politics, the EU has been expressing concern over Kashmir being a potentially dangerous flashpoint between Pakistan and India. During his March 7 speech to the UN Security Council on Iraq, French Foreign Minister Dominique de Villepin referred to the 'long-standing unresolved dispute in southern Asia', along with the Middle East and the Korean question, as being issues meriting the attention and involvement of the international community.

Members of the German Parliament's Foreign Affairs Committee also said that Foreign Minister Joschka Fischer cited 'more pressing and serious problems than Iraq, like Kashmir' as one of the justifications for his government's opposition to the Iraq War, when he gave German MPs his briefing on the issue.

However, Germany, like other EU countries, is opposed to placing priority to Kashmir over all other issues and feels that normalisation of relations and dialogue between the two adversarial neighbours needs to proceed without Kashmir being an impediment, a position closer to India's than Pakistan's perspective.

In an official paper issued by the German Foreign Office in May 2002 on 'Tasks of German Foreign Policy' on South Asia, it is explicitly stated that 'in the process of developing our relations with South Asia, India has priority', adding that 'India now appears to be developing into another Asian superpower.'

A significant change in Europe is that Muslims and Islam are now part of the European landscape, with large Muslim populations in Germany, France and

policy

Britain, making these societies multi-cultural a multi-ethnic. While accepting these new realities, the is also some fear and concern whether the Muslims their midst will pose a 'threat' to their societies, be from 'terrorism' or 'fundamentalism'. Some schola have also talked of a 'Euro-Islam' where Europea feel a 'secular and modern' Muslim population cou

coexist better with their European counterparts. Another change visible is the praise for Turkey neo-Islamist government, which the Europeans to has done more for human rights and meeting I criteria for membership than secular Turkish parti in the past. Turkey has abolished the death penalt granted cultural rights to the Kurdish minority as talks on Turkey's membership of the EU are sche uled to begin at the end of 2004. In this respect, t Europeans are learning from the tragic blunder main Algeria in 1992, when an Islamist political par winning a free election was denied office, banne and persecuted following the imposition of a Wer ern-backed Martial Law. 11 years and 100,000 lo lives later, the situation there is still volatile ar violent. However, with the US-led 'war on terror' focusii on the Muslim World, an interesting but still una

l' for still They sson rvaex-

> ures rov-

heir ntly

NATO, since its main enemy - Soviet Communism has ceased to exist. In the past NATO Secretar Generals have hinted at 'radical Islam' being the 'ne enemy' although this is still not the official line. 1992, NATO Secretary General Manfred Woerner, his first visit to Moscow after the break-up of the Soviet Union, had expressed concern over the resu gence of Islam in the Central Asian Republics whi concurrently welcoming the rise of Christianity in the former Soviet Union's European part. And in 199 NATO Secretary General Willy Claes again raised th spectre of meeting an 'Islamic threat' as one of NATO new role. Interestingly, in its quest for a new ro beyond Europe, NATO is to take over command ISAF in Kabul in August, the first time NATO will be operating outside its primary area of responsibilit namely, Europe.

swered question is what is the relevance and role

uing essgerring lon pin

e in

the tennu-

In its quest to diversify its foreign policy, rather tha relying entirely on one country - a mistake that Pak stan made in the past - Pakistan should try to engag Europe in South Asia, as it has somewhat successfull tried to do with Russia. But then in seeking Europe political and economic support, it will have to be mor sensitive to European concerns on human rights an

Afhka ems

democracy if it wants meaningful and substantiv cooperation. The Germans, for instance, complained of lack of Pakistani interest and enthusiasm after a EU delega tion had offered wide-ranging cooperation and sur

he is her

ind urs

for

port on such areas as law enforcement, money laur dering and monitoring border crossings. Pakistan ha had a problem of dichotomy in dealing with the Wes pliable towards Washington's intrusive actions bu treating similar attempts by the EU as bordering o 'interference in internal affairs', especially when comes to issues of democracy.

Pakistan should learn from Turkey, which has wo

din's ign gn

the

sia,

to

praise by meeting European concerns on human right and democracy while, at the same time, promoting it political and economic interests. In order to get some thing, governments also have to give in return, ar aspect in successful diplomacy that Pakistan needs to learn from its Turkish friends.

nd ith

queries E-mail nd mushahid@nation.com.pk comments to: